

From crisis to control

A strategic approach to managing flooding risk





Flooding events are becoming more common as global temperatures rise, including river flooding, flash floods and storm surges. The World Bank estimates that 1.8 billion people, or 23% of world population, live in areas exposed to the risk of a major flooding event.'

Our webinar on 26 October 2023 gathered experts from across WTW to help clients navigate this changing risk landscape. Colleagues from our Direct and Facultative team, Climate Practice, Alternative Risk Transfer team and the WTW Research Network explored:

- The different types of flood and what causes them.
- How climate change is influencing flood patterns.
- How we quantify flood risks and probable losses.
- Real-world risk analysis and catastrophe modeling examples.
- Parametric insurance solutions for flood risks.
- Latest research and how it can inform better risk decision making.

WTW Speakers

Katherine Latham

Natural Catastrophe Analytics Manager Direct & Facultative

Aimee Colgate

Catastrophe and Climate Risk Lead Associate Climate Practice

Neil Gunn

Head of Flood and Water Management Research WTW Research Network

Jaskirat Singh

Analyst Alternative Risk Transfer Solutions

Figure 1: WTW Natural Catastrophe Analytics



¹https://blogs.worldbank.org/climatechange/flood-risk-already-affects-181-billion-peopleclimate-change-and-unplanned

What is flooding?

Flooding is temporary submersion of dry land caused by heavy rainfall, river overflows or coastal surges. It's the world's most frequent and widespread peril and can happen anywhere, including arid and desert environments.

With the frequency and severity of flood events increasing, it's important that companies can identify their exposures, quantify potential losses and find ways to mitigate their risks and optimize their insurance cover.



What are the main types of flood?



Flash floods

Flash floods are caused by very heavy rain falling over a short period of time; usually within six hours. They can happen some distance from the actual rainfall due to water flowing from higher ground.

Although flash floods can occur anywhere, the worst impacts tend to happen in:

- · Arid areas where the soil has dried and compacted, meaning it can't absorb large downfalls - one third of earth's landmass is considered semi-arid and at high flood risk if sudden heavy rainfall occurs.
- Urban areas where water runs off non-porous surfaces such as concrete and asphalt and drainage systems become overwhelmed.
- · Land already saturated from previous rainfall.

Example: Flash floods in Seoul, South Korea in August 2022 were the worst in 80 years, resulting in nine deaths and major damage to property and infrastructure.



River floods

Rivers can burst their banks and cause flooding after events such as sudden rainfall, snow melt, or debris jamming the river course. Like flash floods, the impacts can often be distant from the actual rainfall. River floods are typically less sudden and take longer to build, giving organizations more time to prepare and implement mitigation strategies. Locations close to the river have a higher risk, known as the floodplain.

Example: Several rivers burst their banks in the central Europe floods of 2002, which caused large-scale damage to property across the region including the Czech Republic, Austria, Hungary and Germany.

Storm surges

When sea water swells, driven by low atmospheric pressure and strong winds, it can inundate the land, causing coastal flooding. High tides can increase surge heights, as can the topography of the land and the seabed. Surges can happen at any time of year but are most common during storm seasons.

Example: Superstorm Sandy in 2012 coincided with full moon and high tides. It caused a 14ft storm surge in some parts of New York City, resulting in significant damage to infrastructure.

How is climate change affecting flood risk?

Studies have shown that climate change is leading to increased flood risk.

- Higher temperatures mean warmer air, which holds more water, causing an increase in heavy rainfall.
- Heat extremes create dry, compacted soil that's unable to absorb heavy rainfall.
- Rising sea levels linked to climate change can increase the impact of coastal flooding.
- Increased glacial melt is adding more water to already saturated drainage systems.

Example: the 2022 floods in Pakistan showed how risk factors can combine to cause devastating flood damage. An extreme heatwave with long periods over 40°C caused the soil to dry up and become compacted. This was followed by above average monsoon rains. The heat also caused increased glacial melting from the mountains. The resulting flood affected a third of the country, displacing 33 million people and causing 1,600 fatalities and devastating damage to property.



How can we quantify flood risk?

Being able to quantify flood risks can help organizations identify mitigation and prevention strategies and assess whether their insurance cover is enough to protect them from the likely scale of losses.

Hazard mapping

Hazard mapping tools, such as Munich Re's NATHAN and Swiss Re's CatNet, use location information combined with historic flood data to plot flood risk on a map. It's important to have accurate geolocation information, as flood risk can vary a lot over a small area, as shown in the example below. WTW's Global Peril Diagnostic tool, which uses NATHAN data, can help to assess flooding risk at a particular location, or across a portfolio. By entering complete and accurate address information, we can produce a hazard map and a hazard score indicating the level of exposure.

Government agencies such as the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in the U.S. also produce flood maps based on their own flood risk indices.

Figure 2: An example of a hazard map

This example shows how the risk changes across an area, highlighting the need for accurate address information.



Source: Google Maps

For illustrative purposes only



Source: WTW's Global Peril Diagnostic

Catastrophe modeling

Catastrophe modeling tools, such as RMS and KatRisk, predict probable financial losses by analyzing information such as location characteristics from the client's schedule of values, historic flood data, modeled predictions of flood risk, the vulnerability of the property, and policy terms.

Companies can use these loss models to assess if their natural catastrophe insurance limits are adequate and decide on the limits they want to buy.

Figure 3 below shows an example of a catastrophe model output. It includes:

- **Ground up loss** the total likely financial loss caused by the event.
- **Gross loss** to the insurer once insurance conditions, including deductibles and limits, are applied.
- Average annual loss indicates the premium that will be needed to cover the modeled risks.

- **Return period** the likely frequency of the loss (or greater) occurring.
- **Probable maximum loss (PML)** the maximum loss that can be expected from a single event taking all elements of risk into account.

Reducing uncertainty in catastrophe models

The standard deviation and co-efficient of variation in catastrophe models are indicators of the level uncertainty in the modeled results. The higher the number, the greater the uncertainty, which may result in higher insurance premiums.

To reduce this uncertainty and keep premiums under control, it is vital that companies submit complete and accurate data for all insured locations to their broker or insurer. This should include details such as occupancy, type of construction, year of build, and local flood defences where relevant.

Figure 3: A typical modeled loss calculation

In this example, the chances of the company seeing a loss of \$17.5 million or greater is a 1-in-250 year probability, or 0.4% in any given year.

Modeled Exposure

The Total Insured Values (Buildings, Contents and Business Interruption combined) modeled for the peril and region of interest.

Ground Up Loss

Estimated losses **BEFORE** insurance conditions have been applied. Also known as 'True Loss'.

Gross Loss

Estimated losses **AFTER** insurance conditions have been applied. Also known as 'Insurer loss'.

Return Period		Flood					Probable Maximum
An estimate of the frequency (in years) that the portfolio will sustain a loss of a given size or greater.				Ground Up	Gross Loss	Loss (PML)	
	L	Modeled Exposure		9,844,627,399	9,844,627,399		The worst case
						 expected after taking into account relevant mitigating factors 	expected after taking
	-	0.01%	► 10,000	140,487,863	136,512,835		
		0.40%	250	17,560,027	6,672,782	◄	that may prevent a
Exceedence Probability		1.00%	100	6,523,845	523,431	maximum possible	
		2.00%	50	2,845,87	8,775		loss (MPL), such as
		▶ 20.00%	5	49,137	0		shutters on windows
The percentage							or spinikier systems.
portfolio experiencing the estimated loss values or greater.	-	→ Average Annual Loss		372,635	144,090		
		Standard Deviation		▶3,674,699	3,070,954		
		Coefficient of Variation		9.86	21.31		
					↑		

Average Annual Loss (AAL)

Also known as the pure or technical premium, it provides an estimate of premium required to protect against all catastrophic losses. The higher the value, the higher the exposure of the portfolio.

Standard Deviation

Gives a view of the volatility in the average annual losses. Provides a measure of how close the actual loss for a given year is likely to be to the average annual loss (AAL). The higher the value, the greater the margins of uncertainty.

Coefficient of Variation

The standard deviation divided by the average annual loss (AAL). It can be used to compare other perils or portfolios.

Strategic risk consultancy for flooding

To help organizations make decisions on how to mitigate and manage their flood risks, WTW offers a complete risk consultancy service, including in-depth risk analysis, hazard and catastrophe modeling and vulnerability assessments. Armed with the right information and cost-benefit analysis, organizations can see their mitigation, risk management and risk transfer options much more clearly.



Example: Flood risk analysis for UK property client

The client had a large portfolio of properties in the UK. They wanted to quantify the flood risk at their most exposed sites to understand potential loss and business downtime — and what mitigation strategies would be effective in reducing their risks.

Hazard assessment: We started with a desktop hazard assessment using multiple data sources, including historic data for river, surface and groundwater flooding, and the Environment Agency's maps of current flood risk and historical floods in the area, to understand the flood hazard at a site-specific level.

Site survey: We then went on site to collect information on building vulnerability, local flood defenses, examined any site-specific flood protection in place and site flood emergency response plans and location of electrical and other critical equipment. We found there was an Environment Agency flood alleviation plan for the area, which proposed to raise local flood walls and widen river channels, limiting flood risk to around 300 properties and 70 businesses.

Catastrophe model: We used the data collected from our hazard analysis and site survey, as well as the improvements proposed in the flood alleviation plan, into our catastrophe models to get a more accurate prediction of the probable maximum loss (PML) at each location. At one site, previously, a 1 in 100-year probability event would have inundated the entire site. However, due to the flood alleviation works,



we determined the flood would only inundate the Southern part. From our analysis we noted the flood could still cause flooding requiring clean up. The net effect reduced the PML by 35%.

Cost-benefit analysis: We carried out a cost-benefit analysis of possible risk mitigation measures, comparing the cost and complexity of the proposed measures against the potential reduction in property damage and business interruption, to help the client identify which mitigation strategies to prioritize.

Risk mitigation: Based on the cost-benefit analysis, we proposed three key priority measures: temporary flood protection such as floodgates or temporary external doors; flood protection equipment such as mobile flood pumps, dehumidifiers and mobile generators to reduce recovery time and business interruption; and an inspection of air vents to make sure low level vents are sealed to prevent water getting in.



Example:

Climate risk engineering assessment for Australian refinery

Our client wanted to understand the property damage and possible business interruption that could be caused by flooding due to storm surges and rainfall as climate change intensifies.

Hazard assessment for storm surge

- Using extreme value analysis of data from historic flood events, we modeled probable minimum and maximum flood depths against a range of return periods under current climate conditions.
- Sea level rise projects were taken from 'Coast Adapt' data and superimposed with the flood scenarios and historical data to outline final scenarios.
- The maximum flood water levels were then translated into a hydrograph, a graph which shows the rate of flow versus time.
- Our team partnered with the WRN and Newcastle University to utilize the Hydrodynamic Model City Catchment analysis (CityCat). We fed the hydrographs into the CityCAT Urban Flood Model, which simulates rainfall flow pathways and water depths, to model the combined impact of a storm surge and flash flood event under different climate scenarios.
- Finally, we were able to produce detailed maps showing which areas would be most affected in various climate scenarios (see *Figure 4* below).

Hazard assessment for pluvial rainfall

- We used a similar approach to estimate extremes of rainfall and flood risk under current observed rainfall patterns first by taking climate models and extreme value analysis of observed and projected precipitation.
- Analyzing this data further by creating hyetographs, which showed distribution of rainfall over a particular area over a time period, we calculated the potential impact of an extreme rainfall event of one hour duration at the client's site.
- The distribution of rainfall and minimum and maximum flood depths was calculated and input into CityCat to create a visual map of the flow across the site.
- Using all this information, we were able to produce maps showing how pluvial flooding would impact the site in 1 in 100 year and 1 in 1,000 year events.

Vulnerability assessment: Based on information provided by the client about their buildings and assets, and the rainfall and storm surge predictions, we estimated the extent of likely damage to those assets and the potential business interruption under two different climate change scenarios.

Risk mitigation: To reduce the client's exposures, we recommended mitigation strategies such as adding additional structures on top of the existing breakwater, relocating a flood-exposed pump house to higher elevation, replacing an electrical switch unit, and raising key equipment above flood risk levels.



Figure 4: Map showing storm surge extremes for coastal flooding: scenario for high intensity events - 1.98-2.34m

Source: QGIS For illustrative purposes only



Alternative Risk Transfer

Alternative Risk Transfer (ART) provides alternative ways to transfer or finance risks such as where capacity is unavailable, there is a need for supplemental capacity, buying down deductibles and/or covering non-damage business interruption. It can be used as part of a blended approach to risk financing along with traditional insurance.

ART solutions include:

- Parametric solutions covering specific perils, usually weather or natural catastrophe risks.
- Multi-year or multi-line structures.
- Captive solutions enabling companies to retain risk internally.

Parametric insurance for flood risks

With floods increasing in severity and frequency, causing potentially devastating losses, many organizations are looking for solutions that pay out quickly and enable them to recover faster.

Traditional property policies may not meet all of these needs. For example, they don't cover non-damage business interruption or pay costs associated with supply chain disruption. They can also take a long time to pay out due to complex loss adjustment processes.

Parametric solutions offer an alternative. They are not linked to property damage but are triggered when the flood reaches a certain specified level, often measured by satellite images or ground sensors placed around a building. Sensors can send messages automatically when flooding occurs and results can be verified in a day or two.

Benefits

- **Transparency:** cover is based on independent data and pre-agreed payouts. For example, a policy could pay 0% of the policy limit if rainfall is below 8 inches, 50% if it is between 8 and 10 inches and 100% if it above 10 inches.
- **Speed:** because claims are based on an agreed measurement, rather than an estimate of losses, there is no loss adjusting process. Claims can be paid quickly which can help with cash flow in the aftermath of a flood.
- **Broad coverage:** parametric insurance can cover a wide range of industries and business losses. For example, a policy could be arranged to cover decline in crop yield as a result of a flood, or the impact of cancellations at hotels caused by nearby flooding.
- Unconstrained use of funds: there's no limitation on what the money can be used for, which means it can help cover costs, such as business interruption, supply chain disruption and loss of attraction not possible under property insurance.

Challenges

 Basis risk: a drawback of parametric solutions is that the policy payment may not fully reflect the loss suffered — this is referred to as basis risk. However, basis risk can be managed through effective risk modeling and appropriate structuring to ensure the cover is calibrated to fit your risk as closely as possible.

Parametric case study: Storm surge cover, U.S.

Increasing flood risks meant a pharmaceutical company's flood deductible had risen considerably. The company used a captive insurance vehicle to retain this exposure. WTW helped them develop a parametric solution to reinsure the risk. It pays out if a storm surge is greater than 16ft (4.9m), verified by measurements from the tide gauge at the nearby National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) station. This has helped to protect the captive and minimize the financial impact of retaining the risk.

Benefits

- Close recording station minimizes basis risk.
- Protects the captive from exposure to flood risk.
- Covers non-damage business interruption.
- Graduated payout pattern pays a higher increment every 0.1ft.
- Fast claim handling and payment.

Figure 5: Parametric insurance payouts versus traditional insurance payouts

This illustration shows how parametric insurance differs from traditional insurance, offering faster payouts, which help keep business interruption to a minimum.

Traditional insurance									
Event	Days ———	Months	→ Years						
	Interim payout								
Flood	Claims processing	Property damage payout	Business interruption payout						
	Loss adjustment								
Parametric insurance									
Event	Days ———	Months	→ Years						
Flood	Full payout	Business interruption minimized	Business as usual						
	Minimal loss adjustment								



Science for resilience

The WTW Research Network (WRN) represents a 17-year investment in research and ongoing horizon scanning to help organizations protect their assets. The network includes dedicated research hubs and more than 60 partners in science, academia, think tanks and the private sector.

We bring our best practice research and evidence into risk models, advice, thought leadership, focused roundtables, and knowledge sharing events, to reduce uncertainty and help with natural catastrophe risk management and resilience.

²www.wtwco.com/en-gb/insights/research-programs-and-collaborations/wtw-researchnetwork#wnss-white-papers

³www.wtwco.com/en-gb/insights/2023/07/natural-catastrophe-review-january-june-2023 ⁴www.wtwco.com/en-gb/insights/2023/05/weather-and-climate-risks

Flood risks research

Our Flood and Water Management research hub carries out extensive research into flood risk and its impacts. The team also works closely with our Weather and Climate Risks hub to look at how different risks interact with each other and how climate change influences and amplifies them. For example, heavier rain falling after forest fires can cause saturation that leads to landslips. Our research examines the mechanisms behind these linked events so we can develop better advice for our clients on how to mitigate the risks involved.

WRN regularly publishes white papers² and insights on the results of our research on important topics and recent hazard events, such as the Natural Catastrophe Review³, and an annual review⁴ showcasing research projects across all hubs.



Natural Catastrophe Review January-June 2023

In the latest edition of the Review⁵, you can read an article from our Head of Model Research and Innovation Cameron Rye and our Head of Flood and Water Management Research Neil Gunn giving an overview of catastrophic flooding events across the globe in the first half of 2023.

Look out for our July-December 2023 Natural Catastrophe Review due to be launched soon.

Current flood-related research topics

- Aligning finance and investment with adaptation and resilience goals for property risks — for example, looking at how climate risks change property values as people move away from high risk areas.
- Development of new tools like the CityCAT Urban Flood Model. We're now helping to develop new modules to understand how pipe networks in cities affect flood risk, and the risks associated with different types of fluids, not just water.
- How will future climate change affect storm surge risk, drought or heavy rainfall?
- What is the likely duration of business interruption from urban floods and how can recovery be optimized? Understanding the interaction of urban flood risk, business interruption and management of transport networks to achieve swifter recovery times.
- Will probable maximum floods get bigger with climate change? How would this affect dams and reservoirs?
- What are future infrastructure needs and how can the existing built environment be adapted to accommodate climate change?



⁵www.wtwco.com/en-gb/insights/2023/07/natural-catastrophe-review-january-june-2023



Summary of main points

- Flood risks are growing in many parts of the world, including flash floods, river flooding and storm surges.
- This is partly driven by climate change, which is causing heavier rainfall, rising sea levels and increasing glacial melt.
- Hazard mapping, catastrophe modeling and vulnerability assessments can help organizations understand and quantify the risks they face at site and portfolio level.
- With this information, WTW can assess likely damage in a variety of flood and climate scenarios and advise on how to mitigate those risks.
- We can also advise on a range of risk transfer options, including parametric insurance, which pays out faster and can cover a wider range of losses than traditional property policies.
- All of WTW's insurance and consultancy is underpinned by the WRN's pioneering research into flooding and other weather and climate-related risks.



Further information

For more information, please contact:

Asia

Paul Ward Head of Property and Casualty, Asia +65 6958 2800 paul.ward@wtwco.com

Australia and New Zealand

Trent Williams Head of Broking, Australasia +61 423 598 493 trent.williams@wtwco.com

Europe

Victor De Jager Head of Property for Europe +31 (0)6 2111 6250 victor.de.jager@wtwco.com

GB

Katie Latham

Natural Catastrophe Analytics Manager Direct & Facultative +44 7901 101299 katherine.latham@wtwco.com

Aimee Colgate Catastrophe and Climate Risk Lead Associate Climate Practice +44 738 5947 019 aimee.colgate@wtwco.com

Disclaimer

WTW offers insurance-related services through its appropriately licensed and authorised companies in each country in which WTW operates. For further authorisation and regulatory details about our WTW legal entities, operating in your country, please refer to our WTW website. (https://www.wtwco.com/en-GB/Notices/globalregulatory-disclosures).

It is a regulatory requirement for us to consider our local licensing requirements. The information given in this publication is believed to be accurate at the date of publication shown at the top of this document. This information may have subsequently changed or have been superseded and should not be relied upon to be accurate or suitable after this date. This publication offers a general overview of its subject matter. It does not necessarily address every aspect of its subject or every product available in the market and we disclaimer all liability to the fullest extent permitted by law. It is not intended to be, and should not be, used to replace specific advice relating to individual situations and we do not offer, and this should not be seen as, legal, accounting or tax advice. If you intend to take any action or make any decision on the basis of the content of this publication you should first seek specific advice from an appropriate professional. Some of the information in this publication may be compiled from third party sources we consider to be reliable, however we do not guarantee and are not responsible for the accuracy of such. The views expressed are not necessarily those of WTW.

About WTW

At WTW (NASDAQ: WTW), we provide data-driven, insight-led solutions in the areas of people, risk and capital. Leveraging the global view and local expertise of our colleagues serving 140 countries and markets, we help you sharpen your strategy, enhance organizational resilience, motivate your workforce and maximize performance. Working shoulder to shoulder with you, we uncover opportunities for sustainable success — and provide perspective that moves you. Learn more at wtwco.com.



wtwco.com/social-media Copyright © 2024 WTW. All rights reserved. WTW-HP-2024-0757 FPS5671750

wtw

Jaskirat Singh

Analyst Alternative Risk Transfer Solutions +44 7407871675 Jaskirat.Singh@wtwco.com

Neil Gunn

Head of Flood and Water Management Research WTW Research Network neil.gunn@wtwco.com

North America

Christian Ryan North American Industry Leader christian.ryan@wtwco.com

South America

Roman Mesuraca Regional Head of P&C & Broking — LatAm +54 11 4324 1195 roman.mesuraca@wtwco.com

